



# ABSciCON 2017

MESA, ARIZONA

1  
00:00:12,250 --> 00:00:06,150

you

2  
00:00:16,690 --> 00:00:14,040

[Music]

3  
00:00:18,790 --> 00:00:16,700

hi everyone I hope you can hear me I'm

4  
00:00:22,060 --> 00:00:18,800

I've been a little sick so sorry if my

5  
00:00:23,710 --> 00:00:22,070

voice is creaky i'm abby karen i'm a

6  
00:00:25,600 --> 00:00:23,720

research assistant with greg for nia at

7  
00:00:27,880 --> 00:00:25,610

MIT and i'm going to be speaking with

8  
00:00:33,220 --> 00:00:27,890

you about phylogenetic proxies for the

9  
00:00:35,680 --> 00:00:33,230

rise of atmospheric oxygen i can click

10  
00:00:37,299 --> 00:00:35,690

it okay so the rise of oxygen as we

11  
00:00:39,759 --> 00:00:37,309

currently understand it is mostly

12  
00:00:41,820 --> 00:00:39,769

informed by these geochemical proxies

13  
00:00:44,320 --> 00:00:41,830

like you just heard in the previous talk

14

00:00:44,950 --> 00:00:44,330

here you know two figures briefly going

15

00:00:46,510 --> 00:00:44,960

over it

16

00:00:48,880 --> 00:00:46,520

oxygen levels in the early Earth were

17

00:00:52,420 --> 00:00:48,890

really low they rose at the great

18

00:00:53,979 --> 00:00:52,430

oxidation event around 2.3 2.4 Anna did

19

00:00:55,840 --> 00:00:53,989

something in here they might have risen

20

00:00:57,970 --> 00:00:55,850

and then crashed and stayed really low

21

00:01:00,430 --> 00:00:57,980

or they might have risen to a small

22

00:01:02,999 --> 00:01:00,440

percent of modern and then rose again at

23

00:01:05,410 --> 00:01:03,009

the at the neoproterozoic oxygenation to

24

00:01:07,270 --> 00:01:05,420

approximately modern levels but what

25

00:01:10,480 --> 00:01:07,280

happened in there is still a little bit

26

00:01:13,450 --> 00:01:10,490

controversial and so I thought well

27

00:01:14,740 --> 00:01:13,460

there are two records of the history of

28

00:01:16,990 --> 00:01:14,750

life on Earth right there's this

29

00:01:18,700 --> 00:01:17,000

geochemical record that we just heard

30

00:01:19,780 --> 00:01:18,710

about and they're isotopes preserved and

31

00:01:21,850 --> 00:01:19,790

you can look at how they change over

32

00:01:23,980 --> 00:01:21,860

time through the stratigraphy I'm not

33

00:01:26,080 --> 00:01:23,990

super cool but there's also this totally

34

00:01:28,450 --> 00:01:26,090

separate record preserved in the genetic

35

00:01:29,950 --> 00:01:28,460

diversity in modern organisms so you can

36

00:01:31,899 --> 00:01:29,960

look at what genes they have and when

37

00:01:33,399 --> 00:01:31,909

they evolved different pathways to use

38

00:01:35,679 --> 00:01:33,409

different things in the in the

39

00:01:38,320 --> 00:01:35,689

atmosphere and so that's what I'm

40

00:01:39,820 --> 00:01:38,330

working on and our idea was can we take

41

00:01:41,920 --> 00:01:39,830

this genomic data and use it as a

42

00:01:45,039 --> 00:01:41,930

totally independent test for these

43

00:01:47,140 --> 00:01:45,049

oxygen hypotheses and you might be

44

00:01:48,730 --> 00:01:47,150

wondering how to do that and we decided

45

00:01:50,230 --> 00:01:48,740

to sort of attack this question by

46

00:01:52,569 --> 00:01:50,240

looking at really informative gene

47

00:01:54,280 --> 00:01:52,579

histories so for the question of oxygen

48

00:01:56,260 --> 00:01:54,290

we can look at when genes that are

49

00:01:59,020 --> 00:01:56,270

involved in dealing with oxygen toxicity

50

00:02:00,999 --> 00:01:59,030

evolved so oxygen is really dangerous

51  
00:02:03,940 --> 00:02:01,009  
right it damages your cells that dam it

52  
00:02:05,740 --> 00:02:03,950  
causes mutations and you need to be able

53  
00:02:09,190 --> 00:02:05,750  
to deal with that if you're living in an

54  
00:02:11,020 --> 00:02:09,200  
oxygen vironment so these genes that can

55  
00:02:13,060 --> 00:02:11,030  
take superoxides and make them not

56  
00:02:14,410 --> 00:02:13,070  
destroy your organism are really

57  
00:02:17,050 --> 00:02:14,420  
important and there will be a really

58  
00:02:19,000 --> 00:02:17,060  
high selection pressure for any lineage

59  
00:02:20,319 --> 00:02:19,010  
that can deal with oxygen is going to

60  
00:02:21,880 --> 00:02:20,329  
survive much better than those that

61  
00:02:24,820 --> 00:02:21,890  
can't so we should be able to see that

62  
00:02:26,350 --> 00:02:24,830  
in the history of these genes

63  
00:02:27,670 --> 00:02:26,360

similarly off

64

00:02:29,710 --> 00:02:27,680

in metabolism genes are really

65

00:02:32,020 --> 00:02:29,720

interesting because they're super useful

66

00:02:33,580 --> 00:02:32,030

if you have them you can use oxygen they

67

00:02:35,260 --> 00:02:33,590

might have even been required for

68

00:02:37,150 --> 00:02:35,270

complex life they're just really energy

69

00:02:39,730 --> 00:02:37,160

efficient but they do require more

70

00:02:41,740 --> 00:02:39,740

oxygen than you would expect to need to

71

00:02:43,900 --> 00:02:41,750

have to have these oxygen toxicity genes

72

00:02:45,010 --> 00:02:43,910

so the idea was maybe we'll see these

73

00:02:49,750 --> 00:02:45,020

genes rise and then the oxygen

74

00:02:52,060 --> 00:02:49,760

metabolism genes rise later and so we

75

00:02:53,890 --> 00:02:52,070

can look at which lineages acquire these

76  
00:02:55,780 --> 00:02:53,900  
genes and when they acquire them so you

77  
00:02:57,760 --> 00:02:55,790  
can look both in time and in like

78  
00:03:01,660 --> 00:02:57,770  
ecological space who is getting these

79  
00:03:03,100 --> 00:03:01,670  
genes when and so I'm going to do a

80  
00:03:05,020 --> 00:03:03,110  
really quick run-through of what

81  
00:03:06,130 --> 00:03:05,030  
molecular phylogenetics is just for

82  
00:03:08,860 --> 00:03:06,140  
those of you in the audience who might

83  
00:03:10,449 --> 00:03:08,870  
not have that as their specialty so

84  
00:03:12,670 --> 00:03:10,459  
basically what I'm doing is i acquire

85  
00:03:15,370 --> 00:03:12,680  
the amino acid sequences for whatever

86  
00:03:17,680 --> 00:03:15,380  
gene we're caring about from modern

87  
00:03:19,360 --> 00:03:17,690  
organisms and each letter is like an

88  
00:03:21,130 --> 00:03:19,370

amino acid sequence and that is our data

89

00:03:22,660 --> 00:03:21,140

sets so eventually we're going to see

90

00:03:24,970 --> 00:03:22,670

how different these sequences are from

91

00:03:26,380 --> 00:03:24,980

each other you align them so that

92

00:03:28,510 --> 00:03:26,390

similar parts of the protein are being

93

00:03:30,640 --> 00:03:28,520

compared across species so I'm not like

94

00:03:32,500 --> 00:03:30,650

comparing you know this blue section to

95

00:03:34,479 --> 00:03:32,510

a purple section just because there's an

96

00:03:35,650 --> 00:03:34,489

insertion or something in the gene so we

97

00:03:37,300 --> 00:03:35,660

deal with that and then we create a

98

00:03:38,860 --> 00:03:37,310

phylogenetic tree and there's a bunch of

99

00:03:43,660 --> 00:03:38,870

ways to do that that I'm not going to go

100

00:03:46,420 --> 00:03:43,670

into now but in general our tips in the

101  
00:03:50,680 --> 00:03:46,430  
tree are going to be species the edges

102  
00:03:52,090 --> 00:03:50,690  
are longer if the nodes are more

103  
00:03:53,920 --> 00:03:52,100  
different from each other and the nodes

104  
00:03:55,830 --> 00:03:53,930  
represent speciation events

105  
00:03:58,120 --> 00:03:55,840  
so when lineages diverge from each other

106  
00:04:00,009 --> 00:03:58,130  
we also have support values in the

107  
00:04:01,660 --> 00:04:00,019  
topology of any tree that we put up and

108  
00:04:03,729 --> 00:04:01,670  
those support values in my analysis are

109  
00:04:06,430 --> 00:04:03,739  
done through boots droppings we take a

110  
00:04:08,860 --> 00:04:06,440  
sub sampling of that amino acid sequence

111  
00:04:10,690 --> 00:04:08,870  
create a tree with that that small

112  
00:04:12,400 --> 00:04:10,700  
section do it a hundred times and see

113  
00:04:16,240 --> 00:04:12,410

how many of those times support this

114

00:04:18,820 --> 00:04:16,250

given topology so you can do this with

115

00:04:21,099 --> 00:04:18,830

some really slow evolving genes you can

116

00:04:22,480 --> 00:04:21,109

take like 30 ribosomal proteins stick

117

00:04:24,430 --> 00:04:22,490

them all together put them through this

118

00:04:27,010 --> 00:04:24,440

process and make a tree and that tree

119

00:04:29,050 --> 00:04:27,020

will generally really closely reflect

120

00:04:31,180 --> 00:04:29,060

actual evolutionary events so like if

121

00:04:32,680 --> 00:04:31,190

you look up here the cat next to the dog

122

00:04:34,390 --> 00:04:32,690

it's a bit further away from the mouse

123

00:04:37,290 --> 00:04:34,400

but further away from the reptiles and

124

00:04:38,580 --> 00:04:37,300

so on but if you look at just one gene

125

00:04:41,129 --> 00:04:38,590

it might

126  
00:04:42,750 --> 00:04:41,139  
different so in this example maybe the

127  
00:04:44,790 --> 00:04:42,760  
gene was lost on the lineage going to

128  
00:04:46,860 --> 00:04:44,800  
snakes maybe it evolved in the tetrapods

129  
00:04:49,770 --> 00:04:46,870  
the fish never had a chance to get it

130  
00:04:50,940 --> 00:04:49,780  
and you know weirder things can happen

131  
00:04:52,890 --> 00:04:50,950  
right you can have horizontal gene

132  
00:04:54,300 --> 00:04:52,900  
transfer events where you get the cat in

133  
00:04:56,129 --> 00:04:54,310  
the dog next to the bird and everybody

134  
00:04:58,260 --> 00:04:56,139  
knows that's not right

135  
00:05:00,000 --> 00:04:58,270  
and so you could say okay well if this

136  
00:05:01,500 --> 00:05:00,010  
is really highly supported they got the

137  
00:05:03,330 --> 00:05:01,510  
ancestor of cat and dog got the genes

138  
00:05:04,470 --> 00:05:03,340

from the bird lineage and that might

139

00:05:06,000 --> 00:05:04,480

make sense to you but if you imagine

140

00:05:08,190 --> 00:05:06,010

these are all bacteria that you've never

141

00:05:10,110 --> 00:05:08,200

studied before you need that species

142

00:05:11,460 --> 00:05:10,120

tree to be able to compare the two same

143

00:05:13,080 --> 00:05:11,470

if you're a computer you need that

144

00:05:16,350 --> 00:05:13,090

species tree to be able to compare the

145

00:05:19,230 --> 00:05:16,360

two and you can also time transfers so

146

00:05:20,550 --> 00:05:19,240

in this kind of silly example you could

147

00:05:22,379 --> 00:05:20,560

say okay well we know birds and

148

00:05:24,090 --> 00:05:22,389

crocodiles split at this time and cats

149

00:05:26,250 --> 00:05:24,100

and dogs split at that time so this

150

00:05:28,440 --> 00:05:26,260

transfer event happened in that like 200

151  
00:05:30,540 --> 00:05:28,450  
million year window but if we apply this

152  
00:05:32,879 --> 00:05:30,550  
to all of history we might find

153  
00:05:34,500 --> 00:05:32,889  
something interesting so the first game

154  
00:05:36,900 --> 00:05:34,510  
we put through our pipeline is called

155  
00:05:38,640 --> 00:05:36,910  
superoxide disney at Ace this is one of

156  
00:05:42,000 --> 00:05:38,650  
those oxygen toxicity genes I was

157  
00:05:45,140 --> 00:05:42,010  
talking about and so we should see that

158  
00:05:47,580 --> 00:05:45,150  
as soon as a group of microbes

159  
00:05:49,380 --> 00:05:47,590  
experiences oxygen suddenly it really

160  
00:05:50,760 --> 00:05:49,390  
needs this gene and so any lineage that

161  
00:05:52,440 --> 00:05:50,770  
happens to get the gene is going to be

162  
00:05:56,100 --> 00:05:52,450  
really highly selected for they're going

163  
00:05:57,900 --> 00:05:56,110

to live the rest of them maybe not but

164

00:05:59,550 --> 00:05:57,910

if we also if we ever see oxygen levels

165

00:06:01,260 --> 00:05:59,560

go back down we might expect to see

166

00:06:02,820 --> 00:06:01,270

losses because suddenly the gene isn't

167

00:06:06,750 --> 00:06:02,830

important anymore and we're optimizing

168

00:06:10,529 --> 00:06:06,760

for short genomes okay and this is like

169

00:06:11,760 --> 00:06:10,539

a quick idea so maybe when testing

170

00:06:15,180 --> 00:06:11,770

hypotheses about the great oxidation

171

00:06:18,990 --> 00:06:15,190

event we might see like two bump oops

172

00:06:20,779 --> 00:06:19,000

wrong button two bumps here of transfer

173

00:06:23,909 --> 00:06:20,789

events and that might be support for a

174

00:06:25,800 --> 00:06:23,919

rise at the great oxidation event steady

175

00:06:28,140 --> 00:06:25,810

state and then another rise at the

176

00:06:30,180 --> 00:06:28,150

neoproterozoic oxygenation event whereas

177

00:06:31,440 --> 00:06:30,190

if we see a whole bunch of losses right

178

00:06:33,360 --> 00:06:31,450

after the goe

179

00:06:35,370 --> 00:06:33,370

then maybe that would be a support for

180

00:06:38,100 --> 00:06:35,380

like oxygen levels go up and then they

181

00:06:40,650 --> 00:06:38,110

crash and then they go up again so that

182

00:06:42,719 --> 00:06:40,660

was the idea turns out that this is

183

00:06:44,909 --> 00:06:42,729

really really messy so my previous work

184

00:06:46,950 --> 00:06:44,919

was all on like eukaryotes that have

185

00:06:48,180 --> 00:06:46,960

just a few transfers are like okay we

186

00:06:48,980 --> 00:06:48,190

can just count them this will be a

187

00:06:51,379 --> 00:06:48,990

breeze

188

00:06:53,689 --> 00:06:51,389

it's not bacteria are sharing their

189

00:06:56,629 --> 00:06:53,699

genes all over the place and so we end

190

00:06:58,969 --> 00:06:56,639

up with this really complicated tree

191

00:07:02,450 --> 00:06:58,979

that infers like thousands of transfers

192

00:07:04,700 --> 00:07:02,460

events at really low support and so we

193

00:07:06,320 --> 00:07:04,710

needed some way to deal with this and

194

00:07:07,700 --> 00:07:06,330

the main problem is that we just have a

195

00:07:10,689 --> 00:07:07,710

short gene it's only a few hundred

196

00:07:13,279 --> 00:07:10,699

characters and we have so many sequences

197

00:07:15,469 --> 00:07:13,289

so we came up with this approach in

198

00:07:17,570 --> 00:07:15,479

which we identify so all of this is

199

00:07:19,909 --> 00:07:17,580

automated so once I do it once I should

200

00:07:22,219 --> 00:07:19,919

be able to do it a bunch of time it

201  
00:07:23,960 --> 00:07:22,229  
identifies someplace by taxonomic

202  
00:07:25,850 --> 00:07:23,970  
information so you can pull out like

203  
00:07:26,990 --> 00:07:25,860  
just this one creative cyanobacteria and

204  
00:07:28,939 --> 00:07:27,000  
there's another clade down there and

205  
00:07:31,490 --> 00:07:28,949  
we're not ignoring it but it's going to

206  
00:07:33,920 --> 00:07:31,500  
be processed separately then we create

207  
00:07:36,260 --> 00:07:33,930  
that ribosomal like species tree and

208  
00:07:38,420 --> 00:07:36,270  
also a gene tree for every single one of

209  
00:07:40,999 --> 00:07:38,430  
these subclades we boot them

210  
00:07:44,240 --> 00:07:41,009  
appropriately we include candidates to

211  
00:07:46,219 --> 00:07:44,250  
measure losses and then we can identify

212  
00:07:48,080 --> 00:07:46,229  
the transfers and losses by running it

213  
00:07:50,420 --> 00:07:48,090

through another program like Ranger DPL

214

00:07:53,120 --> 00:07:50,430

and we do this across 100 different

215

00:07:55,430 --> 00:07:53,130

bootstrap tree topology is to sort of

216

00:07:57,409 --> 00:07:55,440

get the range of variation there to

217

00:07:59,089 --> 00:07:57,419

really make sure that if we're

218

00:08:03,020 --> 00:07:59,099

identifying a transfer it's definitely a

219

00:08:04,760 --> 00:08:03,030

transfer and then from each of these we

220

00:08:05,930 --> 00:08:04,770

can each of these like little subsample

221

00:08:09,560 --> 00:08:05,940

triangles we can take somewhere between

222

00:08:11,749 --> 00:08:09,570

my two and ten sequences and turn them

223

00:08:14,089 --> 00:08:11,759

into this slightly smaller like only a

224

00:08:16,430 --> 00:08:14,099

couple hundred sequences tree instead of

225

00:08:18,290 --> 00:08:16,440

that eight thousand one so we can see

226

00:08:20,540 --> 00:08:18,300

those really deep splits well with

227

00:08:22,640 --> 00:08:20,550

better support and this ends up just

228

00:08:24,200 --> 00:08:22,650

being a huge amount of data so you I can

229

00:08:25,820 --> 00:08:24,210

look at the subsample tree I can look at

230

00:08:27,379 --> 00:08:25,830

the node support on all these deeper

231

00:08:30,020 --> 00:08:27,389

slits but I can also look at every

232

00:08:32,949 --> 00:08:30,030

single one of these smaller triangles

233

00:08:34,639 --> 00:08:32,959

and I'm going to show some of those now

234

00:08:37,130 --> 00:08:34,649

because they're actually really

235

00:08:38,899 --> 00:08:37,140

interesting so for example in this is

236

00:08:41,420 --> 00:08:38,909

that first clade of cyanobacteria that I

237

00:08:42,469 --> 00:08:41,430

pulled out and you don't really need to

238

00:08:44,870 --> 00:08:42,479

understand this it's looking at the

239

00:08:46,940 --> 00:08:44,880

topology and the red branches are

240

00:08:51,350 --> 00:08:46,950

representing transfers into that lineage

241

00:08:52,819 --> 00:08:51,360

so here you can see that FOD has a

242

00:08:55,340 --> 00:08:52,829

really complex deep history in

243

00:08:57,500 --> 00:08:55,350

cyanobacteria we have a couple well

244

00:08:59,800 --> 00:08:57,510

supported transfers and something really

245

00:09:01,780 --> 00:08:59,810

interesting is that so Blio vector is

246

00:09:03,340 --> 00:09:01,790

supposedly like the earliest branching a

247

00:09:05,619 --> 00:09:03,350

sign of bacteria so we should have that

248

00:09:07,660 --> 00:09:05,629

coming out first but we don't if you

249

00:09:09,400 --> 00:09:07,670

look at it first there's a transfer from

250

00:09:10,840 --> 00:09:09,410

this group of Santa bacteria to this

251  
00:09:13,720 --> 00:09:10,850  
group and then there's a transfer from

252  
00:09:15,819 --> 00:09:13,730  
this group to a Siddal bacteria and then

253  
00:09:20,079 --> 00:09:15,829  
we have actor finally gets the gene in

254  
00:09:20,410 --> 00:09:20,089  
the transfer from a Siddal bacteria hold

255  
00:09:24,220 --> 00:09:20,420  
on

256  
00:09:26,049 --> 00:09:24,230  
and that's odd right if it all of

257  
00:09:27,850 --> 00:09:26,059  
cyanobacteria were evolving in an oxygen

258  
00:09:30,009 --> 00:09:27,860  
ik environment they would need this gene

259  
00:09:31,660 --> 00:09:30,019  
and so they would preserve that gene and

260  
00:09:34,600 --> 00:09:31,670  
you would get a topology like this but

261  
00:09:36,610 --> 00:09:34,610  
instead we get this topology and all of

262  
00:09:38,549 --> 00:09:36,620  
those deep splits are not vertically

263  
00:09:41,230 --> 00:09:38,559

inherited so we can say okay well maybe

264

00:09:43,389 --> 00:09:41,240

they happened before that before

265

00:09:44,799 --> 00:09:43,399

wherever they were living was oxygenated

266

00:09:48,030 --> 00:09:44,809

right and that's and that's a pretty

267

00:09:50,949 --> 00:09:48,040

cool observation similarly on this is a

268

00:09:54,160 --> 00:09:50,959

subclade of crenarchaeota and you can

269

00:09:55,749 --> 00:09:54,170

see my gene wasn't these thermo protti

270

00:09:58,329 --> 00:09:55,759

allies and then it was transferred into

271

00:10:01,900 --> 00:09:58,339

some really modern groups right like so

272

00:10:06,069 --> 00:10:01,910

follow ballet and arrow PI room and

273

00:10:07,329 --> 00:10:06,079

those are the learnt like I think in the

274

00:10:09,819 --> 00:10:07,339

last 800 million years

275

00:10:12,280 --> 00:10:09,829

I'm pretty sure but I'm not 100% sure

276

00:10:14,980 --> 00:10:12,290

but so these are a bunch of different

277

00:10:17,199 --> 00:10:14,990

independent transfer events into aerobic

278

00:10:19,840 --> 00:10:17,209

archaea that diverged relatively

279

00:10:22,689 --> 00:10:19,850

recently so for example our of hiram is

280

00:10:24,490 --> 00:10:22,699

a deep ocean one and so we can say well

281

00:10:26,559 --> 00:10:24,500

maybe this is actually evidence for a

282

00:10:29,530 --> 00:10:26,569

delayed neoproterozoic oxygenation of

283

00:10:32,259 --> 00:10:29,540

the deep ocean because these guys didn't

284

00:10:33,759 --> 00:10:32,269

see oxygen and then suddenly they they

285

00:10:36,189 --> 00:10:33,769

see it they need to protect themselves

286

00:10:40,030 --> 00:10:36,199

from it and they end up acquiring this

287

00:10:41,559 --> 00:10:40,040

gene and then being selected for and

288

00:10:44,499 --> 00:10:41,569

that's that's basically all I have to

289

00:10:46,389 --> 00:10:44,509

say just that genomic data is a really

290

00:10:47,949 --> 00:10:46,399

like out-of-the-box way to attack these

291

00:10:49,179 --> 00:10:47,959

problems and it's in a totally

292

00:10:50,759 --> 00:10:49,189

independent way from all this wonderful

293

00:10:53,470 --> 00:10:50,769

geochemical work that you all are doing

294

00:10:54,999 --> 00:10:53,480

and we can look at specific clades to

295

00:10:57,280 --> 00:10:55,009

see what was going on ecologically and

296

00:11:00,100 --> 00:10:57,290

temporally and eventually once I get the

297

00:11:02,139 --> 00:11:00,110

the timing thing done hopefully we'll be

298

00:11:06,100 --> 00:11:02,149

able to see like patterns bumps of

299

00:11:07,449 --> 00:11:06,110

transfers and losses over time and yeah

300

00:11:08,980 --> 00:11:07,459

and that should be it should be faster

301  
00:11:10,900 --> 00:11:08,990  
now that I have this automated pipeline

302  
00:11:12,639 --> 00:11:10,910  
that I spent forever doing to

303  
00:11:13,000 --> 00:11:12,649  
automatically infer when these transfer

304  
00:11:15,910 --> 00:11:13,010  
events

305  
00:11:17,740 --> 00:11:15,920  
happening and that's all thank you to

306  
00:11:19,330 --> 00:11:17,750  
you all for inviting me here to speak

307  
00:11:31,150 --> 00:11:19,340  
thanks to my sponsors and to the

308  
00:11:33,760 --> 00:11:31,160  
Fournier lab and MIT oh I have a

309  
00:11:36,700 --> 00:11:33,770  
question yeah oh quit of course you guys

310  
00:11:38,350 --> 00:11:36,710  
how very nice talk I have so you have a

311  
00:11:40,720 --> 00:11:38,360  
you get a lot of superoxide dismutase

312  
00:11:42,880 --> 00:11:40,730  
--is i'm assuming not all of those have

313  
00:11:44,350 --> 00:11:42,890

been tested for activity is there a

314

00:11:45,610 --> 00:11:44,360

possibility that some of them don't do

315

00:11:47,590 --> 00:11:45,620

that function that they do something

316

00:11:50,530 --> 00:11:47,600

else are you pretty confident that these

317

00:11:53,170 --> 00:11:50,540

are all doing superoxide dismutase and

318

00:11:55,030 --> 00:11:53,180

so everything that I've heard of when

319

00:11:57,460 --> 00:11:55,040

there is a superoxide it is doing some

320

00:11:58,870 --> 00:11:57,470

sort of superoxide dismutase activity

321

00:12:00,610 --> 00:11:58,880

I've never heard of one that's not but

322

00:12:02,050 --> 00:12:00,620

it's certainly possible and there are a

323

00:12:05,920 --> 00:12:02,060

couple different superoxide dismutase

324

00:12:07,300 --> 00:12:05,930

genes so so when I go a bit further in

325

00:12:08,650 --> 00:12:07,310

the project we'll have analyzed like a

326

00:12:10,300 --> 00:12:08,660

whole bunch of different genes and we

327

00:12:14,320 --> 00:12:10,310

can sort of take the whole history of

328

00:12:15,580 --> 00:12:14,330

all of them to not miss anything this is

329

00:12:16,660 --> 00:12:15,590

more of a technical point that I may

330

00:12:18,580 --> 00:12:16,670

have missed but you said that you were

331

00:12:20,050 --> 00:12:18,590

subsampling or tree in order to better

332

00:12:21,610 --> 00:12:20,060

resolve those clade you're doing gene

333

00:12:23,380 --> 00:12:21,620

species trees with an individual clades

334

00:12:25,390 --> 00:12:23,390

to look for transference what if there

335

00:12:26,920 --> 00:12:25,400

were transfers between really distant

336

00:12:29,590 --> 00:12:26,930

clays how are you catching that ID

337

00:12:32,260 --> 00:12:29,600

um so I'm taking every single so so for

338

00:12:34,060 --> 00:12:32,270

example in the UM thermo archaea one

339

00:12:35,740 --> 00:12:34,070

that I just showed I take one clade

340

00:12:37,990 --> 00:12:35,750

that's all of the thermo archaea and

341

00:12:41,380 --> 00:12:38,000

everything inside it just subsample on

342

00:12:44,560 --> 00:12:41,390

the algorithm ignores the announcer mark

343

00:12:45,940 --> 00:12:44,570

yeah and then I have another plate that

344

00:12:47,410 --> 00:12:45,950

will be identified that's like just

345

00:12:48,640 --> 00:12:47,420

those social valleys and we'll so

346

00:12:50,290 --> 00:12:48,650

example them separately

347

00:12:53,560 --> 00:12:50,300

that way when you build the entire tree

348

00:12:55,300 --> 00:12:53,570

you should get um you know like to term

349

00:12:56,800 --> 00:12:55,310

archaea to coming out and to over here

350

00:12:58,720 --> 00:12:56,810

coming out and then the sister being

351

00:13:00,430 --> 00:12:58,730

those social Ballet's also coming out I

352

00:13:04,690 --> 00:13:00,440

hope that answers your question

353

00:13:07,360 --> 00:13:04,700

thanks very quickly yes a comment mostly

354

00:13:10,900 --> 00:13:07,370

about the cyanobacteria and the super

355

00:13:13,570 --> 00:13:10,910

rock dis musik it's a little pet theory

356

00:13:17,560 --> 00:13:13,580

of mind but I want to share it with you

357

00:13:19,750 --> 00:13:17,570

yes the sign of bacterial are one of the

358

00:13:22,180 --> 00:13:19,760

only groups of bacteria that use an

359

00:13:24,080 --> 00:13:22,190

oxidative desaturation mechanism for

360

00:13:27,260 --> 00:13:24,090

making their unsaturated fatty acid

361

00:13:30,980 --> 00:13:27,270

and I've often queried in my mind

362

00:13:33,110 --> 00:13:30,990

whether this wasn't a consequence of the

363

00:13:35,660 --> 00:13:33,120

fact that they had to initially deal

364

00:13:39,710 --> 00:13:35,670

with oxygen them sadly so you might put

365

00:13:41,060 --> 00:13:39,720

that that gene into your your yeah can

366

00:13:44,570 --> 00:13:41,070

you can do this repeat what it was like

367

00:13:47,060 --> 00:13:44,580

remember it's a desaturation oxidative

368

00:13:49,190 --> 00:13:47,070

saturate okay thank you that enzyme that

369

00:13:52,010 --> 00:13:49,200

puts the on the double bond and a fatty

370

00:13:54,460 --> 00:13:52,020

acid and it requires oxygen a nice point